












**Report of:** Head of Oxford City Homes

**To:** Executive Board



**Date:** 13 August 2007

**Item No:**

**Title of Report :** Installation of Fire Doors – tender approval.

 <b><u>Summary and Recommendations</u></b>	
 <b>Purpose of report:</b>	To advise the Board on the tenders received for the installation of fire doors within blocks of flats.
 <b>Key decision:</b>	Yes 
 <b>Portfolio Holder:</b>	Councillor Patrick Murray
<b>Scrutiny Responsibility:</b>	Housing Scrutiny Committee
 <b>Block(s) affected:</b>	Abingdon, Barton & Sandhills, Blackbird Leys, Churchill, Cowley, Cowley Marsh, Hinksey Park, Jericho & Osney, Littlemore, Marston, Quarry & Risinghurst, Rose Hill & Iffley, Wolvercote
 <b>Report Approved by:</b>	
 <b>Portfolio Holder:</b>	Patrick Murray
 <b>Finance:</b>	David Higgins
 <b>Legal:</b>	Jeremy Thomas
 <b>Policy Framework:</b>	To meet Decent Homes Target by 31 December 2010.
<b>Recommendation(s):</b>	That the lowest tender for the installation of fire doors be accepted.

### Background –

-  This project was approved by the Board in April 2007 as part of the HRA 2007/8/9/10 Capital programme. At that time, 432 doors were identified as needing replacing with a budget of £330k. Since then  full surveys of all blocks have identified a further 218, making a total of 650 to complete the programme in all blocks (excluding tower blocks which are part of another project).

2. Competitive tenders have been received for this work, the results of which are shown in the Financial Implications section below.

### **Legal implications -**

3. There are no specific legal implications. The contract will be a fixed price contract under the JCT Intermediate Form of Contract.
4. As this work is classed as an “improvement”, leaseholders are not included within the contract and therefore the consultation process under the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002, Section 151 is not necessary.

### **Financial implications -**

5. The following renders were received:

- A. £455,340.00
- B. £470,565.00
- C. £482,821.50
- D. £556,420.62

6. Tender A, submitted by Homesafe Lindman, was the lowest. It is higher than the budget agreed but can be accommodated within the overall Capital budget for this financial year due to a predicted under-spend in other projects.
7. The tenders are very competitive and value for money is gained by carrying out all of the works to complete the fire door programme in this financial year.

### **Recommendation -**

8. It is recommended that the lowest tender in the sum of £455,340 be accepted.

### **Appendices -**

Appendix 1.            Address list

**Name and contact details of author:**    **Chris Pyle, tel; 335411, extn 3611,  
Email: [cpyle@oxford.gov.uk](mailto:cpyle@oxford.gov.uk)**

Background papers:                                  Specification and tender returns.

